

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/972,966	10/10/2001	John W. McCorkle	XSI.007	6238	
23400	7590 03/03/2006		EXAMINER		
POSZ LAW GROUP, PLC 12040 SOUTH LAKES DRIVE			WANG, TED M		
SUITE 101			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
RESTON, V	RESTON, VA 20191			2634	
			DATE MAILED: 03/03/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/972,966	MCCORKLE, JOHN W.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Ted M. Wang	2634			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	L. lety filed the mailing date of this communication.			
Status					
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>20 Description</u> 2a)⊠ This action is FINAL.	action is non-final. ace except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-24 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-9 is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 10-24 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on 20 December 2005 is/an Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	re: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ object drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:				

Application/Control Number: 09/972,966

Art Unit: 2634

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments, filed on 12/20/2005, with respect to claims 1-24 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Specification

- 2. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities:
 - In paragraph 102, line 5, change "525" to --- 550 ---.
 Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 10-19, 23, and 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Souisse et al. (US 2002/0102987) in view of Schlang et al. (US 5,890,051) and McEwan (US 5,510,800).
 - With regard claims 10 and 11, Souisse et al. discloses a wireless network with
 WAN and LAN mode having a multimode receiving unit including a UWB receive

Art Unit: 2634

mode (Fig.2 and paragraph 33) and a master clock (Fig.3 element 325) to select different mode with respect to the receiving frequency (paragraphs 11 and 37).

Souisse et al. discloses all of the subject matter as described in the above paragraph except for specifically teaching how the clock is changed and how the receiving mode is selected and switched that

(a) a clock signal generator for generating a base clock signal at a base clock frequency; (b) a first divide circuit for dividing the base clock signal by a first integer value M to generate a first clock signal having a first clock frequency equal to the base clock frequency divided by M; (c) a second divide circuit for dividing the base clock signal by a second integer value N to generate a second clock signal having a second clock frequency equal to the base clock frequency divided by N; and (d) a switch for selecting the first clock signal when a first receive mode of operation is selected, and for selecting the second clock signal when a second receive mode of operation is selected.

However, Schlang et al. teaches a dual band mobile phone with a mode selection mechanism, comprising:

- (a) a clock signal generator for generating a base clock signal at a base clock frequency (Fig.1 elements 14, 17, and 21, and Fig.19 elements 30);
- (b) a first divide circuit (Fig.19 element 41b) for dividing the base clock signal by a first integer value M (divided by 11 or 12) to generate a first clock signal having a first clock frequency equal to the base clock frequency divided by M (Fig.19 element 41b output);

Art Unit: 2634

(c) a second divide circuit (Fig.19 element 41a) for dividing the base clock signal by a second integer value N (divided by M2=117) to generate a second clock signal having a second clock frequency equal to the base clock frequency divided by N (Fig.19 element 41a output); and

(d) a switch (Fig.19 element 41c) for selecting the first clock signal when a first receive mode of operation is selected, and for selecting the second clock signal when a second receive mode of operation is selected (column 21 lines 29-60). Note that, the Schlang's reference does not exactly teach a UWB clock frequency, but it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to easily implement Schlang's the clock generating mechanism and selecting/switching mechanism into Souisse's multimode receiving unit in order to provide a transceiver which supports full duplex operation in dual band that can accommodate multiple standards within the same handset (column 2 line 66 –column 3 lines 6) so that the coverage area for a mobile phone can be improved.

Souisse et al. and Schlang et al. disclose all of the subject matter as described in the above paragraph except for specifically teaching a pulse forming network for generating a modulated pulse stream in response to the second clock signal.

However, McEwan teaches a pulse forming network for generating a modulated pulse stream in response to the second clock signal (Fig.3 element 114 and column 5 lines 34-52).

It is desirable to include a pulse forming network (PFN) for generating a modulated pulse stream in response to the second clock signal. The reason for this is that the PFN has the capability of providing a pulse of adjustable duration or having adjustable feedback to tailor the source impedance and characteristics of the modulator (or demodulator), thereby improve operating efficiency, stability, and transient response. Therefore, It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to include the apparatus as taught by McEwan to connect the PFN at the output of divider 41b as disclosed by Souisse et al. and Schlang et al. so that the operating efficiency, stability, and transient response can be improved.

With regard claims 14, 15, and 16, Souisse et al. and Schlang et al. and McEwan disclose all of the subject matter as described in the above paragraph except for specifically teaching a signal processor for processing the received signal with the second divided clock signal and the modulated pulse stream.

However, McEwan further teaches that a signal processor (Fig.3 element 115 and column 5 lines 34-52) for processing the received signal (Fig.3 element 111 output and column 5 lines 34-52) with the second divided clock signal (Fig.3 element 113 and column 5 lines 34-52) and the modulated pulse stream (Fig.3 element 114 and column 5 lines 34-52).

It is desirable to have a signal processor for processing the received signal with the second divided clock signal and the modulated pulse stream. The reason for this is that the signal processor (or mixer) can be used in combination

Art Unit: 2634

with a filtering or amplification circuit to generate a wanted different frequency (IF or baseband) for further processing so that the frequency conversion operation can be facilitated. Therefore, It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to include the signal processor (mixer) for processing the received signal with the second divided clock signal and the modulated pulse stream as taught by McEwan into the modified Souisse et al. and Schlang et al. and McEwan receiving unit mixer circuit so as to improve the frequency conversion operation.

- With regard claim 18, which is a mean plus function claim related to claim 14, all limitation is contained in claim 14. The explanation of all the limitation is already addressed in the above paragraph.
- With regard claim 19, which is a mean plus function claim related to claim 15, all limitation is contained in claim 15. The explanation of all the limitation is already addressed in the above paragraph.
- With regard claim 23, Souisse et al. further discloses a narrow band receive
 mode (paragraphs 3, 4, and 33). All other limitation is contained in claim 1. The
 explanation of all the limitation is already addressed in the above paragraph.
- □ With regard claims 12, 13, 17, and 24, Souisse et al. and Schlang et al. and McEwan disclose all of the subject matter except for teaching of that (1) the base clock frequency is about 4.8 GHz recited in claim 12 and (2) the second integer value N is equal to 2 as recited in claims 13, 17, 21 and 24.

Application/Control Number: 09/972,966

Art Unit: 2634

Regarding claims although Souisse et al. and Schlang et al. and McEwan have not don't specifically disclose the limitations of (1) and (2) above, such limitation are merely a matter of design choice and would have been obvious in the system of Richards et al. Schlang et al. teaches that "the reference oscillator crystal will provide cell phone operating in the 2 GHz region (column 8 lines 15-25) and dividers can be used integers 11 or 12 and 117 (Fig.19 elements 41a, 41b, 42a, 42b, and column 21 lines 21-60) for requirement of the designed system. The limitations in the claims do not define a patentably distinct invention over that in Souisse et al. and Schlang et al. and McEwan since both the invention as a whole and Souisse et al. and Schlang et al. and McEwan are directed to choose different integers for the divider and clock frequency for a typical operation. Therefore, to select different integer for divider and clock's frequency would have been a matter of obvious design choice to one of ordinary skill in the art.

Page 7

- 5. Claims 20-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Souisse et al. (US 2002/0102987) and Schlang et al. (US 5,890,051) and McEwan (US 5,510,800) as applied to claims 1 and 14 above, and further in view of Garin et al. (US 5,901,183).
 - With regard claims 20 and 22, Souisse et al. and Schlang et al. and McEwan disclose all of the subject matter as described in the above paragraph except for specifically teaching an integrator configured to accumulate an output of the mixer.

However, Garin et al. teaches an integrator (Fig.13 element 443) configured to accumulate an output of the mixer (Fig.13 elements 411, 441, and 443, and column 11 lines 4-29).

It is desirable to including an integrator configured to accumulate an output of the mixer in order to maximize the signal to noise ratio (SNR) so that the receiver performance (quality) is improved. Therefore, It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to include an integrator configured to accumulate an output of the mixer as taught by Garin et al. into the modified direct conversion circuit 315 as disclosed by Souisse et al. and Schlang et al. and McEwan so as to improve the receiver performance (quality).

All other limitation is contained in claims 1 and 14. The explanation of all the limitation is already addressed in the above paragraph.

With regard claim 21, Souisse et al. and Schlang et al. and McEwan disclose all of the subject matter except for teaching of that (1) the second integer value N is equal to 2 as recited in claims 13, 17, 21 and 24.

Regarding claims although Souisse et al. and Schlang et al. and McEwan have not don't specifically disclose the limitations of (1) above, such limitation are merely a matter of design choice and would have been obvious in the system of Richards et al. Schlang et al. teaches that "the dividers can be used integers 11 or 12 and 117 (Fig.19 elements 41a, 41b, 42a, 42b, and column 21 lines 21-60) for requirement of the designed system. The limitations in the claims do not

Application/Control Number: 09/972,966 Page 9

Art Unit: 2634

define a patentably distinct invention over that in Souisse et al. and Schlang et al. and McEwan since both the invention as a whole and Souisse et al. and Schlang et al. and McEwan are directed to choose different integers for the divider and clock frequency for a typical operation. Therefore, to select different integer for divider and clock's frequency would have been a matter of obvious design choice to one of ordinary skill in the art.

Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claims 1-9 are allowed.

Conclusion

- 7. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).
- 8. A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 09/972,966 Page 10

Art Unit: 2634

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ted M. Wang whose telephone number is 571-272-3053. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 7:30 AM to 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chieh Fan can be reached on 571-272-3042. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Ted M Wang Examiner Art Unit 2634

Ted M. Wang

KEVIN BURD PRIMARY EXAMINER